
This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the November 10, 2020 City Council Regular meeting and has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, is available online at:

<https://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/Assets/ScottsdaleAZ/Council/current-agendas-minutes/2020-agendas/11-10-20-regular-agenda.pdf>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at:

<http://www.Scottsdaleaz.gov/Scottsdale-video-network/Council-video-archives/2020-archives>

For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that correspond to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

CALL TO ORDER

[Time: 00:00:04]

Mayor Lane: Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to our regular meeting of November 10th, 2020. It's approximately 5 p.m. I call this meeting to order and I would like to start with, if you would, please, the City Clerk City Clerk Carolyn Jagger to conduct the roll call.

ROLL CALL

[Time: 00:00:16]

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Thank you, Mayor. Mayor Jim Lane.

Mayor Lane: Present.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Vice Mayor Solange Whitehead.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Councilmembers Suzanne Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Virginia Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Kathy Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Linda Milhaven.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Guy Phillips.

Councilman Phillips: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: City Manager Jim Thompson.

City Manager Jim Thompson: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: City Attorney Sherry Scott.

City Attorney Sherry Scott: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Acting City Treasurer Judy Doyle.

Acting City Treasurer Judy Doyle: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: City Auditor Sharron Walker.

City Auditor Sharron Walker: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: And the Clerk is present.

[Time: 00:00:45

Mayor Lane: Thank you. I would like to start the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance and I would ask that Vice Mayor Solange Whitehead would led us in the pledge, please.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands: One nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Vice Mayor. A couple of items of simple business. We have Scottsdale police officer, Tony Wells here with us, here on the mezzanine here to my left, as well as Brandon Crother

from the Scottsdale Fire Department, if you need assistance from either of them. And Mr. Crother is directly in front of me up at the mezzanine.

PRESENTATIONS/INFORMATIONS/UPDATES

[Time: 00:01:38]

Mayor Lane: I have a presentation or I should say an announcement to make, and I would like to announce that the Arizona superior court appointment of Judge Joseph Kiefer as a member of the judicial appointments advisory board to serve a three-year term beginning October 1, 2020, and ending September 20, 2023 as stated in the Arizona superior court administrative order, number 2020-144. I want to welcome judge Kiefer to the judicial appointment advisory board, and for the -- that appointment. Excuse me. [Telephone ringing] Pardon that.

MINUTES

[Time: 00:02:38]

Mayor Lane: The next order of business is the minutes. I would like a request for the approval of and a motion to be made for the approval of our work study session minutes of October 6th, 2020, regular meeting minutes of October 6, 2020, special meeting minutes of October 20, 2020, regular meeting minutes of October 20, 2020, and work study session minutes of October 20, 2020. I might miss one of those 20s.

An announcement on the public comment, that we will have some this evening, but spoken comments are being accepted on the consent and regular agenda items. If you are watching the meeting on channel 11, or live stream on your television or other device, mute your devices while you are live in the meeting, and speaking. This will help eliminate audio feedback. Please begin by stating your name and address for the record and then proceed with your comment.

You will be given one opportunity to speak on any or all consent items listed on the agenda. Please limit your remarks to the council agenda items, which you wish to speak to, and stay within the allotted three-minute time frame. The city clerk will orally alert you when you have one minute remaining and again when your time is up, at which time you must conclude your remarks. Staff the mute you once again and you may either stay in meeting or listen or leave by hanging up the phone.

Councilmember Korte: Mayor? I believe we need to approve the minutes.

Mayor Lane: Oh, thank you very much.

Councilmember Korte: So with that. I move to approve the Palestinian unite -- the minutes as stated.

Mayor Lane: Very good. Do we have a second?

Councilwoman Littlefield: Second.

Mayor Lane: Moved and seconded. We are ready to vote. Please register your vote. It's unanimous approval. Thank you very much for that, and for that reminder. Since I have gone through most of the other comments with regard to speaking to the council this evening, the last remaining item on that is the citizens remotely attending council meets shall observe the same rules of order and the quorum as if they were physically in attendance here in city hall.

CONSENT AGENDA

[Time: 00:05:12]

Mayor Lane: We start with our consent items 1 through 16. I see no comments from the council. If there are some, please advise. With that -- no comments or requests or changes. We have consent items 1 through 16. I will ask for a motion to approve.

Councilmember Korte: Mayor, I move to approve consent agenda items 1 through 16.

Mayor Lane: The motion has been made to approve by Councilwoman Korte.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Second.

Mayor Lane: Seconded by Councilwoman Littlefield. No further comments indicated. We are ready to vote. All of those in favor, please indicate with aye, and those opposed with a nay. The consent items 1 through 16 have been approved unanimously.

**ITEMS 17 AND 18 – SEVENTH DAY ADVENTISTS NON-MAJOR GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT
AND REZONING (4-GP-2019 AND 14-ZN-2019 AND PLANNED AIRPARK CORE TEXT
AMENDMENT (5-TA-2019)**

Mayor Lane: Next order of business moves to the regular agenda items. And we would start with item 17, which is the Seventh Day Adventists nonmajor general plan amendment, and rezoning 4-GP-2019, and 14-ZN-2019. Our presenter this evening is Bryan Cluff, our principal planner. Bryan, are you with us now?

Principal Planner Bryan Cluff: Yes, Mr. Mayor and council this is Bryan Cluff. I'm here.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Please proceed then.

[Time: 00:06:03]

Principal Planner Bryan Cluff: Oops. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Mayor, and council. As you mentioned, I'm Bryan Cluff with the city's current planning department. Also with me this evening is Adam Yaron from the long-range planning department. I will give you a brief overview of the Seventh Day Adventists rezoning and general amendment case. I have a combined presentation which also includes the related text amendment 5-TA-2019, which is item number 18 on tonight's agenda. Next slide, please.

The request regarding the general plan amendment and zoning case is for approval of the nonmajor

general plan amendment and the zoning district map amendment, including the mixed-use development which will contain special, residential, employment and aviation-related land use designations on a 75-acre site. The applicant is proposing to implement this with the zoning case using the planned airpark core development which is our PCP district. Next slide, please. And then the associated text amendment, amending Section 5.0046 related to some land use regulations associated with that PCP district, which we'll get into in a little bit more detail later. Next slide, please.

The subject site, as you can see here is located along the east side of north Scottsdale Road, at the Sutton Road alignment bordering the south side and Thunderbird on the north. And close proximity to the Scottsdale Airport. Next slide, please. Here you can see a closer look at the site. The existing Seventh Day Adventist campus improvements, the site is bordered by 76th Street on the east, which is also the Miller Road alignment. Next slide, please.

The 2001 general plan conceptual land use map designated the 75-acre site property as mixed use neighborhoods on 45 acres, and employment on the other 30 acres. It's also within the designated growth area. The applicant's proposal, if we switch to the next slide, please. The applicant's proposal is to change the land use designation from employment to mixed use neighborhoods on 5 acres of the site. So you can see that change highlighted in pink there, central to the site. Next slide, please.

The site is also located within the boundaries of the Greater Airpark Character Area Plan. You can see at the bottom of the screen, the site highlighted relative to the boundary of the plan in red. Next slide, please. And this is the site location relative to the Part 150 noise study. This shows within the proximity of the airport area, the associated noise contour lines adjacent to the airport. So the site is bisected between the 60 and the 55 DNL noise contours and the farthest southeast corner of the site is not within any contours at all. Next slide, please.

This is the existing Greater Airpark Character Area Plan feature land use map as it exists today. So you can see three designations within the property, the AMU category this is appropriate for a variety of nonresidential uses, including a combination of business, office, employment and retail, along with institutional and hotel uses. The largest portion of the site, AMUR is very comparable to the AMU district but also allows residential land uses, and then the A.V. for aviation allows for corporate and personal airport hangars, fueling services, tourist and corporate accommodations along with aircraft, maintenance, and repair facilities. Next slide, please.

This is the proposed amendments to the Greater Airpark Future Land Use Map. All of the categories are the same with the exception of the additional EMP district that you see on there which is employment. The employment designation includes an array of office, commercial warehousing, and light industrial land uses that provide opportunities for business enterprises as well regional and local jobs. The other designations AMU, AMUR and AV are being slightly configured -- reconfigured as you see on the screen, and then mainly to note on here is the AMUR which is the land use designation that allows residential uses is being pulled away from the runway area, and the boundary shown on there does align with the noise contours that you see -- saw on the previous slide, to limit any future residential land uses to be only within the 55 DNL contour. Next slide, please.

This is the existing zoning designations over the property, on the northern portion is the I-1 industrial park district, and on the southern part is the residential single family R1-35. Next slide, please. In is the

proposed zoning district boundaries over the site, and these districts do align with the underlying land use categories that we just saw on the greater airport land use map, and these districts are intended to implement those designations as designated in the character area plan. Next slide, please.

This slide here is the conceptual development plan as submitted by the applicant to move forward with the zoning case. The applicant's proposal does establish a long-term development plan over the 75-acre site, allowing redevelopment of the portions of the campus and the continued use existing religious and educational campus. Future development across the campus may include office uses, along the north Scottsdale road frontage, relocation of the existing aging residential structures and to new townhome-type housing that will be centrally located on the site near the church and school campus. And employment and aviation-related uses within the northern and eastern area of the site. To buffer the adjacent residential, the development plan proposes a 60-foot building setback and landscape buffer which is shown in green along those east and southern boundaries on the plan here. Along with an inclined business ten back plane adjacent to those same boundaries that limit the building height in proximity.

Although it is the long-term intent to preserve the existing religious and educational campus, the property owner would like to preserve the right for future redevelopment of the central and southeast portions of the property, which may include discontinuation of the religious and educational campus and development of residential units. The development plan includes the option for 250 -- or 215, I am sorry, new residential units in this area of the site and this is if the existing dormitories are removed. The development plan does not specifically identify the location or the configuration of these units, however, it's been stipulated with the application that the specific location and configuration of the units will require future city council approval as part of the an amendment to the development plan. And the last thing I would like to note on this slide before we move on are the yellow dots that you see around the perimeter of the site, all the yellow dots represent existing access locations for vehicular access around the site. Those existing access points will remain as they are currently today with the exception of the western most yellow dot on Sutton, in which case that driveway will be reconstructed and configured to direct exiting vehicles westbound on Sutton to prevent traffic from entering into the neighborhoods to the east. Additionally, the red dot that you see near the -- near the city's transit facility, towards Thunderbird, the property owner is working with city staff to allow access through the existing transit center to the signalized intersection at Thunderbird and 73rd street. The applicant has agreed to terms of a revocable license agreement, which we do expect to come before the city council for approval in December. Next slide, please.

This exhibit here is providing a little bit more detail on the building heights that will be allowed across the property. In the green areas, are the highest building heights, which would be up to 60 feet. Then you can see along the perimeters on the east and the south, again that 60-foot landscape buffer shown in green, and then in the yellow and the orange areas are the areas within that building setback plane, which will limit the building height to 30 feet in the orange areas and to 42 feet in the yellow areas. Next slide, please. This is an exhibit showing a little bit more detail on what that 60-foot landscape buffer would look like along the southern portion of the property. There is a detached public sidewalk and public trail within the 60-foot landscape buffer area. Next slide, please.

The zoning case and non-major general plan amendment did go to our Airport Advisory Commission for recommendation to the council on September 16th. That commission recommended approval with a

6-1 vote. The cases were also heard by the Planning Commission on September 23rd, and recommended approval with a vote of 4-1. Next slide, please.

Now to get into a little bit more detail with regard to the text amendment to the zoning ordinance within the P.C.P. district, the proposed text amendment is amending 5.4006, a land use table within the zoning ordinance. The proposal is to remove a use limitation related to ground floor building area of the development plan. And this is a note that's referred to in the zoning ordinance and it's only applicable in this case to the office land use designation. And within the airpark mixed use AMU category. And the original intent for at applicability of this note to the office land use designation was to propose a diversified mix of commercial land uses within the AMU, which allows the largest transit commercial land uses, limiting office to 50% of the ground floor building area may encourage other active land uses such as retail to be located on the ground floor.

However, in applicability, it's become challenging to restrict the specific location of commercial land uses within a development. The applicant's proposal to remove this note from the land use will allow more flexibility and contribution of land uses and floor areas based on market conditions. Next slide, please.

This is showing the amended text, and it is very limited. If you see number 18, the office land use designation, and under the PCP AMU column shows note number 4 to be stricken, and that is the extent of the code change which would have the effect of removing that requirement in the AMU category as it relates to the office land use. Next slide, please.

And then just to summarize, the action requested tonight is approval of cases 4-GP-2019, and 14-ZN-2019, the zoning district map development and the development plan for the mixed-use development. Along with approval of case 5-TA-2019, which is the text amendment amending Section 5.4006 and table 5.4006. a. Next slide, please. That concludes staff's presentation. I would be happy to answer any questions and I do believe the applicant also has a presentation prepared.

Mayor Lane: Bryan, if you would like, in the applicant is ready to make a presentation, he or she is certainly welcome to do so now.

[Time: 00:20:52]

Applicant Representative Kurt Jones: Hello, Mayor, this is Kurt Jones. Can you hear me?

Mayor Lane: Yes.

Applicant Representative Kurt Jones: Okay. I will share the screen here and do a brief presentation. Mayor and city council, we're at 2525, in Phoenix, 85016, tonight representing the Arizona Conference Corporation of the Seventh-Day Adventists with Reggie Leach and their land use consultant and also land use consultant Michael Winkel, Susan Bitter Smith are also on the line.

Bryan did a great presentation of outlining what we are requesting this evening. As you know, the Seventh Day Adventist in the city have been partners since the 1950s when the -- the campus for the training facility of the airpark was granted to the corporation of Seventh-Day Adventists. In 1960s, the

campus granted the runway to the city, and the area around the runway for the airpark, as you -- as we moved in time, you can see the development occurring around the property -- around the airpark and the campus, but the campus itself has pretty much stayed intact, and what they are looking to do is to do a long-term plan, development plan with today's current zoning for the property and Bryan depicted what we are requesting and what we are trying to do with the residential and the nonimpact to the airport and keeping the campus intact and allowing us to redevelop to today's zoning districts for a development plan that allows them to maintain their campus, a campus that's been there since the 1950s and may remain, you know, financially solvent to continue teaching and allowing the religious studies there.

As Bryan mentioned, in this area, at the development plan, there's homes that have been there since the 1940s, they will be removed and concentrated to an area here in yellow. The office that's currently along Scottsdale Road will be removed also, allowing them to market this area for potential office users with Scottsdale Road frontage. And then more often industrial and aviation uses along the north and the northwest portions of the site.

We have, as Bryan mentioned within working with the city staff. I want to thank the airport director and the transportation department and the planning staff in allowing us to allow access to this traffic signal that is not heavily used to allow safe and efficient access out of the site for any users, office users and customers without trying to access Scottsdale road that doesn't have any signals. And so that's a great benefit to this overall development plan. Bryan mentioned this taxi way and that's for the potential aviation uses that could develop here, consistent with the character area plan.

With regards to the -- I had a few questions on the proposed heights. We have an approval from the F.A.A. that there has been a determination of no hazard with regards to the proposed building heights that we are requesting. This letter I applied back in 2019. Stepping back a second, we have been in the process since our open house since June of 2019. So we have not had -- we sort of methodically been through this, the review and master planning our site to get us to this date. And this document demonstrates that our proposed building heights don't impact the operations of the airport.

As Bryan mentioned we are looking for minor text amendment to allow us to allow for the market to dictate what happens on the office floor. Otherwise it would allow office buildings to develop and only 50% of their floor would be used for office and they would have to find other users.

With regards to outreach, as I said we have been in the process since June of last year. We had our open house at the Adventist office building here on Scottsdale Road back in June of last year. We noticed 1,000 feet instead of the required 750 feet. We had many attendees back then. That was preCOVID. So Technical Solutions had the ability to walk the neighborhood and talk to folks and sign support letters and so forth. We have dealt with several residents and neighbors to work through solutions and solving their issues. I don't know if anybody is on the line with regards to the neighborhood. I think we again reached out to everyone that's reached out to the city and/or us and tried to attempt to address all of their issues as we have gone through this process. As you can see with this latest notice, because the full page of agenda items here in words creates a need for three notices. So anybody that was within 750 feet got three postcards for the city council meeting, so that there was adequate notice for what we were planning.

To close, there's a potential if the perimeter of this site, by maintaining the campus develops out to the zoning that we are requesting, shows you the economic impact on this slide of what could potentially happen throughout the remainder of the site that won't be for the campus. With that, I would be happy to answer any questions for you tonight. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Jones. We have one individual who would like to speak to it, and we may be back to you and staff with other questions. But this time, I will turn it over to Megan Lynn. I think we have one speaker that is looking to speak on this subject. Megan, are you available to take on?

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Mayor Lane, I'm here. Can you hear me?

Mayor Lane: Yes.

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Okay. So yes, as you said, we have one speaker for item 17, Betty Janik. So I will go ahead and unmute her now. So give me one moment.

[Time: 00:28:01]

Betty Janik: Hello, can you hear me now?

Mayor Lane: Yes, Betty. Please go ahead. Welcome.

Betty Janik: My name is Betty Janik, I live on North 97th way and I have two simple requests. I would ask you to consider postponing the vote until meetings are open to the public so that we have the availability of more input from the citizens who may be impacted by. This and then my second request is to very carefully consider, or perhaps reconsider office space before we have more clarity on demand, which would probably be post-could individual -- post-COVID. I appreciate you letting me speak this evening.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Ms. Janik. Any questions from the councilmembers on -- we'll start with Councilwoman Littlefield.

[Time: 00:29:01]

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. Actually, my question is for staff, Bryan. Two questions. One of the boards approved this project but it was with a stip it said. What was the stip?

Principal Planner Bryan Cluff: Yes, Mayor Lane and Councilwoman Littlefield, this is Bryan Cluff here. I believe you are referring to the Airport Advisory Commission recommendation. They did approve with a recommended stipulation, which was to prohibit fuel sales or fuel farms associated with aviation land uses that may be included with the project there is also a note that we added in the staff report to explain why we weren't able to forward that recommendation or that stipulation with our recommendation, and that is due to the fact that those fuel services are allowed per a different section of the city code. I believe it's Chapter 5 of the city code that's outside of the city's zoning ordinance which is included in appendix B. of the city code. So through this zoning action we are unable to prohibit that land use, land use that's permitted elsewhere in the code.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you. And I had one other question for you, Bryan. This text amendment that they are asking us to approve. Since text amendments and changes to that land use is citywide, is there anywhere else in the city that would be affected in the city by voting yes tonight?

Principal Planner Bryan Cluff: Yes, Mayor Lane and Councilwoman Littlefield, we have four or five other PCP-zoned properties within the city of Scottsdale. They are all in the airport area and as the PCP district is intended to be promoting that greater airport character area plan within the airport area. We don't anticipate there being any adverse impacts on any of those other properties associated with this change.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Okay. Thank you. That's what I was worried about. Thank you, Mayor.

[Time: 00:31:32]

Mayor Lane: Thank you. The prohibition of the sale of fuel, since that's outside of the zoning issue, is that something that then becomes a better of a state prohibition and is it through a development agreement or how is that handled, number one? And then the other is, if any of these applications on the property are for aviation, and they were to hangar -- and I presume they are able to hangar and they have access to the runway, through the taxiways in that area, are they allowed to sell fuel so their -- those folks who may be hangared or for their own -- I mean to use it not on a retail basis, but for their own members, if you will of businesses that might be there?

Principal Planner Bryan Cluff: Yes, Mayor Lane and members of council. What you mentioned in your final statements regarding the sale of the fuel, I believe that would be the intent of the allowances in the city code, are is to allow those property owners to be able to sell fuel to those who are hangaring their airplanes on the property. With regard to the stipulation and the prohibition, as this is before you tonight, it does not include any prohibition, and I don't know that is there is a method for us to prohibit that with this zoning action. However, the property owner, the Seventh Day Adventists, if they were open to, that they could voluntarily limit that land use on the property through a private deed restriction.

Mayor Lane: Okay. So in response to the Airport Commission, Advisory Commission, is there a response from the property owner or is this just a request and it's -- it does allow them to sell fuel to somebody who may be their tenant and hangar within the building but is there a formal restriction?

Applicant Representative Kurt Jones: Mayor, this is Kurt Jones. As of now, there's not a formal restriction. The conference, Seventh-Day Adventists don't have a plan to build fuel farms on their property. They will market this for hangar use, but they don't plan on placing a fuel farm anywhere on their property at this point in time.

Mayor Lane: So there then is not a formal response to that stipulation?

Applicant Representative Kurt Jones: That's correct.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Very good. Thank you. Any other questions from the councilmembers? All right.

Thank you, Mr. Jones, and Bryan for your presentations. I will start with Councilmember Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Thank you, Mayor. I move to adopt resolution, number 11935, adopt ordinance number 4470, adopt resolution 11934, and finally, adopt ordinance number 4471.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Second.

Mayor Lane: Motion has been made by Councilwoman Korte and seconded by Councilwoman Milhaven. Do you like to speak toward it at all?

Councilwoman Milhaven: No need.

Mayor Lane: And I think we are ready to vote. All of those in favor indicate by an aye. Those opposed a nay. It's unanimous on the passage of it. Again, thank you for the presentations and the information and inquiries. So item 17 is complete. Moving on to our next item which is item 18 Seventh Day Adventist. Is this a separate action item? We do -- we passed 18 as well. Okay. Well, thanks very much. I didn't hear that in the -- okay. Okay. Got it.

ITEM 19 – AXON REZONING

[Time: 00:35:59]

Mayor Lane: Axon rezoning, 13-ZN-2020. And our presenter is Greg Bloemberg. Mr. Bloemberg, are you available to speak forwards this?

Senior Planner Greg Bloemberg: I am, Mr. Mayor. Thank you. Can you hear me?

Mayor Lane: Yes.

Senior Planner Greg Bloemberg: Okay. Thanks again, Mayor Lane, and councilmembers, Greg Bloemberg, senior planner here to give you an introductory presentation for case 13-ZN-2020, which is for the Axon headquarters. My presentation will be followed by one of the applicant.

This is approximately 60 acres at the southeast corner of Hayden Road and the 101. This application applies to essentially the east 30 acres of this site. To southeast of the -- or the south of the building location is the Perimeter Center, which it's occupied by several similar uses, a lot of office and industrial uses. To the southwest is the Scottsdale Stonebrook Two Townhomes, a residential community, single family residential community. To the west of the side, there's presently Mark Taylor multifamily project being constructed. At the northwest corner of Princess and Hayden and then there is Arizona State land beyond that, west of the site. Next slide, please.

Just a closer view of this location. Again, there's the residential at the southeast corner of Hayden and Mayo Boulevard. Of next slide, please.

Presently the site is zoned P-C-D, which is consistent with the entire Crossroad East master plan which is approximately 1,000 acres. This a portion or of that master plan, which is zoned PCD. Next slide. This case would rezone the site, not even rezone it, necessarily, but just adopt the I-1 zoning for this

location. I-1 was always anticipated. I-1 is added to this site and P-C. is part of the zoning. Next slide, please.

The general plan designated the site as employment which is consistent with the application is wishing to do here which is office and manufacturing. The site is part of the regional core, which suggests that this is appropriate for some of the more intense development in the area. Next slide and the Greater Airpark Character Area Plan, it also designates the site as employment. Next slide, please.

So again, the Industrial Park District is what the applicant will be building under this is approved. There is only one amendment proposed to the I-1 development and that's to the building height. The applicant seeks to increase the building height from 52 feet to 82 feet exclusive of roof top appurtenances. Next slide, please. And just to memorialize the heights request, here's an exhibit of the height that's attached not ordinance. It restricts the 82 feet to the Axon location only. The rest of the site would be built to the standard maximum wilding height for the I-1, which is 52 feet and this is memorialized as part of this application. Next slide, please.

So here is the context area. It's close to the freeway with parking southeast of the building itself. And there's also some street infrastructure and street improvements proposed as part of this development. One of those improvements is to widen Hayden Road north of Mayo Boulevard, which would be done by the applicant. And the Mayor alignment that you see running through the site and then curving down to meet up with the existing Mayo or Union Hills, as it's also been known, will also be done by the applicant. And a series of roundabouts would be included as part of those improvements and then if you notice at the southwest corner of the site, there is a 4 or 5-acre site, the actual exact acreage is yet to be established but there is a civic site that the applicant is coordinating with the city on. This civic site could be a future location for a fire station, more than likely will be a future location for a fire station and a water pump station, but those discussions and negotiations are ongoing. So that's just being shown for context purposes. Next slide, please.

Here is a larger view of the site plan. You can see the two roundabouts and the Mayo Boulevard, that's where all the access to the site will occur. Again, there's a lot of surface parking surrounding the building. There is an event space, I think it's actually -- I can't read this. Some sort of an event structure that is part of a building, but I will let the applicant get a little more into detail regarding, but it's a unique feature of this building. That's the area of the building that's sort of orange in color. And then there's this plaque face before you get to the building entrance and patio space for employees for breaks and for taking lunch and that sort of thing. And all of the delivery and refuse collection, loading and unloading would be located along the northwest corner of the building, away from street and away from the residential to the southwest. Next slide, please.

This is just an open space graphic for you to see. There welcome a lot of open space that is yet to come as the property is developed, but this is what is being provided with this particular project. Quite a bit of frontage from Mayo Boulevard that buffers marking from the street -- parking from the street. This is the circulation plan. The majority of the employees will likely enter off second roundabout from the east, and they can enter from the 82nd Street roundabout. And that's where all the delivers and unloading and refuse will occur. At the northwest corner of the building away from the street and away from the parking and the residential. Next slide, please.

Here is a look at the building elevations. A rather iconic design. The only portion of the building that is 82 feet is the office portion the majority of the building is -- and I think below it, the actual maximum standard maximum height of 52 feet. A large portion of the building does not will occupy the 28 feet. -- 82 feet. You can get an idea of how much the footprint is 82 feet by the lower graphic that you see. Next slide, please.

So just a summary request. No bonus development standards are request. The proposal is -- would retain and expand a major employer headquarters. Presently the Axon Corporation occupies a building in the Perimeter Center that they have outgrown and this particular proposal would allow for them to expand their headquarters on to this site. Again widening of north Hayden Road extension of east Mayo Boulevard is proposed as part of the project. Again, the future civic site that may include a new fire station. There's some enhanced land scaping proposed along east Mayo Boulevard, that I will let the applicant get into. I believe he has a graphic that shows that. And the Planning Commission heard this case and recommended approve with a vote of 7-0. Next slide, please.

I want to go through the outreach that has occurred for this project. Back on September 18th, of this year, there was a -- the site what with a project under consideration, advertising the 10/13 open house. On 9/23, there was a heads up postcard notification. On 10/1, 2020, property owners within 750 feet were notified via postcard, again by city staff of the 10/28 Planning Commission hearing. On October 7th, a red hearing sign was posted on the site, advertising the planning commission hearing. On 10/13/20, there was a virtual open house consistent with COVID guidelines and October 16th, of 2020, the property owners within 750 feet were notified of tonight's city council hearing. That is a brief summary of the outreach that has occurred. Next slide, please.

That completed my presentation. Happy to answer any questions you may have. Otherwise, I will turn it over to the applicant for their presentation.

Mayor Lane: Very good Mr. Bloomberg. Thank you. After that, we will hear from some speakers on the subject. Citizen speakers.

[Time: 00:46:56]

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: Mr. Mayor, this is Charles Huellmantel. Can you hear me?

Mayor Lane: Yes, we can.

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: [Audio fading in and out]. We are expanding our uses here in Scottsdale. As you know, we are a born and bred company in Scottsdale and we have seen great growth over the last several years and we are really proud to be continuing our work here in Scottsdale. I would like to go through the presentation. I will slip through some of these slides a little more quickly, Greg, as he always does, did a really thorough job and I don't want to repeat his points. Please move to the next slide. And one more slide, please.

So I want to point out that we have an existing location now that's pretty close to where we are. We are doing much of the same work, maybe more of it, but pretty much the same thing that we are doing

now in a grander fashion and we have the two locations in blue that we exist in today. We are already here in the neighborhood and the work that we do is essentially the same. Next slide, please. And one more, please.

You have seen this slide a few minutes ago, but, again, we are only asking for approval of this organization of the site. We worked with the State to purchase the entire site and we hope to continue to grow in Scottsdale. The site before you, though, is a pretty iconic building. It's one that we are very excited about. One of the things that you will see from this particular image and that I think Greg mentioned as well is that we have moved the building as far away from the residential as possible. While this whole area is designed for employment, we really thought it would be best for us to move as far away as possible and it's also worth noting and you will see again in a few minutes, we are much farther than some of the existing industrial from some of the existing neighborhood. Next slide, please.

In addition to providing the building we think in the right location. This is defined to show you where the height exists. It's only a small portion of the building that you can see in yellow. It's only the piece above that sort of floor. You can see that the places that will be above the existing height and then the center, you can see that it's a long, thin piece and we oriented that on purpose in a way that would be least easy to see for our neighbors. Next slide, please. And you can see on this slide how the excite has been oriented so that the building itself is really -- it's as far away from everyone as possible, right up against the freeway and our height even is designed in a way that is really -- the least amount of visibility as possible. Next slide, please. And one more, please. From this slide, you can see that there's industrial, close to the existing neighborhood on the east, again, much closer than we are proposing. We are proposing to be over 800 feet. We moved the building as far away as possible. We know we could have had the uses much closer but we did what we could to separate ourselves as much as possible. Next slide, please.

One of the things we did, some were more appropriately addressed by the city and some by us. There were concerns about the uses and the sounds and the gases that might come off and I think while we understand that those concerns might be out, there they don't really apply to us. You know that in part because we are going to do the same kind of work that we do today in a newer building that's built to today's standards over the last 15 years we are unaware of any concern that has been raised by anyone in the city towards us. So we like to think we are a good neighbor and on that note, one of the things you saw earlier was the outreach was 750 feet. It's actually not true. We actually doubled that, one of the city staff members suggested we should reach out further and so we did.

But one of the things that we did hear that we thought we could address was that we thought we heard from the neighbors that they would like us to have landscaping along the street that was more consistent with what they had on their side. It wasn't something that we had originally planned on it. Wasn't something that we proposed. Obviously, you normally see something different in the more industrial area than you do in a residential area, but it was something we could accomplish and we did and we proposed and still propose the graphic you see before you which adds significant landscaping, not only to the piece of land that we are proposing to develop now, but also to the piece that is not being developed now because we think, again, that helps address some of the concerns raised by the neighbors. Next slide, please.

Sometimes the landscape plan is hard to see and read and feel, and so this landscape section, I think is really more telling as you look to the left, you can see where the residential area is again. The portion

we are developing is not immediately adjacent to the residential but we will plant that piece as well and we have added trees in the median, as well as another row of trees on what would be the north side of the street. An additional sidewalk for pedestrians. We thought it would make good sense and then an additional row of trees beyond that. So we have substantially increased the landscaping beyond what you would normally see in this type of situation, but we did it in an attempt to be a good neighbor. Next slide, please. I think you have seen this slide already. So next slide, please.

I think that you have covered the zoning -- Greg has covered the zoning for you. So I will answer questions at this point in time and be happy to go over any of these other areas that you -- if you have questions or that the speakers may comment on, Mayor.

Mayor Lane: Very good. Thank you for that presentation. We have a number of people who requested no me on this and then we may come back to you then after that, if there are questions from the councilmembers. So I will turn it over to Megan to proceed with those speaking requests.

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Thank you, Mayor Lane. This is Megan. We have four spoken public commenters on the line. I will unmute the first Bill Miller. Bear with me just one moment.

Mayor Lane: Mr. Miller, are you there?

Senior Management Assistant Megan Lynn: Mayor Lane, he's unmuted on our end. He has to press star six to unmute. I will give him just a moment to do that.

Mayor Lane: Very good. Thank you.

[Time: 00:54:59]

Bill Miller: Hello, can you hear me?

Mayor Lane: Yes, Mr. Miller?

Bill Miller: Yes, sir. I'm Mr. Miller. I'm an officer of the H.O.A. board here in Stonebrook. We are delighted that Axon will be our neighbor. I'm a businessperson. I know some of the execs over there and I'm just delighted that they will be our neighbor.

Mayor Lane: Mr. Miller? Mr. Miller, if you can, it's a little garbled. It's a little difficult to understand you. Is there something you may do to improve the quality of your transmission to us?

Bill Miller: Yes, Mr. Mayor? Is that better?

Mayor Lane: Much better, yes.

Bill Miller: Thank you. Thank you. This is Bill Miller from 8131 east, over here at Stonebrook Two. I'm also on the board. We were quite surprised by the Axon plans. We had heard nothing about it. I'm a businessperson. I know some of the Axon execs and we are delighted to have them as neighbors. We

couldn't have asked for a better neighbor, grouping our values. There was a lack of notice. We didn't hear anything about it until two to four weeks ago and some of my board members can speak to that. We had some concerns about the egress, if you will, the roundabouts and what's going to happen on Hayden Road. As you may or may not know, here in the Phoenix Open and major events, we are land locked and I mean it is tough. So we suspect if it's not done correctly that it will be a quagmire for us and the employees of axon. We had some concerns about the landscaping which is being addressed or talked about. And thirdly about some of the decorum of the building and it may not quite fit what we think is befitting of Scottsdale, but I just wanted to make my thoughts known and to ask the city to please consider delaying the final decision until we can have a little more time to study this.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

Bill Miller: Thank you, sir. Bye-bye.

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Mayor Lane, this is Megan. The next caller is Mary Kay Kennett and I will go ahead and unmute her now.

[Time: 00:57:44]

Mary Kay Kennett: Yes, hello, this is Mary Kay Kennett.

Mayor Lane: Okay. This is Mary Kay Kennett?

Mary Kay Kennett: Hi, I live at 8149 East Teresa Drive in Stonebrook. I'm an original owner since 1996. I have a couple of concerns. I am on the H.O.A. board, and we have been in some communication with Charles and some other individuals with the city. We still have some outstanding questions with regard to this project and basically we are asking that the council allow for a little bit more time for us to get those answer and get some resolutions lined up with axon and with the city for our residents. We have been put on notice that these meetings were going to occur. We have seen the postcards and the signs. Those signs were only put up late September. We received a letter October 2nd or 3rd from Axon. We are now only at November 10th. And those few short weeks have not really garnered us a lot of answers. We have some additional landscaping. However, we still don't have answers. But this question is if it's a 24/7 facility. We don't know that. We don't have an environmental impact. I know Charles stated that nothing with change from what they are doing now, however we are in a floodplain. We need to know how this will affect our homes the other issue I personally have is that the construction of this building has a lighting feature on the north part of it that will face the 101, that is a rotate yellow L.E.D. light. That will basically serve as a billboard for axon to people driving down the 101, and in light of living in Scottsdale for over 25 years, I just don't feel that it's in keeping with -- I know we are always evolving.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: One minute remaining.

Mary Kay Kennett: There are just a few things I would like to see us maintain as far as the integrity of our town. And that, to me, is a little off putting. So I would kindly ask the council allow us for a little bit more time to discuss those issues with Axon and the council. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Ms. Kennett.

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: And Mayor Lane, the next speaker that I have is Tom Durham. So if you bear with me for a moment, I will go ahead and unmute him on my end.

Mayor Lane: Very good. Thank you.

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: And Mr. Durham, you are unmuted. So feel free to go ahead.

[Time: 01:06:53]

Tom Durham: Okay. Thank you. My name is Tom Durham. I live at 8892 East Buckskin Trail.

Mayor Lane: Excuse me, Mr. Durham, you are coming through rather garbled and it's a little difficult to understand you. Is there something you might be able to do to refine your transmission to us?

Tom Durham: I turned off the speaker phone. Is it better? If I get closer?

Mayor Lane: Is this a chance that you have something on in the background of this meeting that might be providing us some type of feedback garble?

Tom Durham: No. I'm in a quiet room. I don't have anything else.

Mayor Lane: We can hear you but it's difficult to sometimes understand you. Why don't you go ahead and try it again.

Tom Durham: Okay. I'm holding it close to the microphone part. I will start off by saying that Axon is a great company. [Garbled audio]

Mayor Lane: Megan, is this something we can do in the transmission to us?

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Mayor, I disconnected him. It sounds like some electronic interference.

Mayor Lane: It's not a matter of dialing back in on a different connection?

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: If he wants to -- if he wants to dial, we have other speakers, if he wants to dial back in on a different line or a different phone, we can let him back in and he can do his comment.

Mayor Lane: Can we invite him to try to do that in some fashion so that we can take his testimony?

Digital Media Designer: Yes, Mayor, he can hear us and we also have his number hasn't we can call him and get in contact with him.

Mayor Lane: Okay.

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Thank you, Brian. While we work on that, on the back end as Brian calls Mr. Durham, I will go ahead and unmute our next spoken public commenter is Susan McGarry. Bear with me for one moment, Mayor.

Mayor Lane: Very well. Thank you, Megan.

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: And Ms. McGary. You are unmuted.

[Time: 01:04:05]

Susan McGarry: Thank you. I'm Susan McGarry. And I live at East Teresa Drive. I'm president of the board here at Stonebrook. I don't want to be too repetitive. We are all very tuned into this project now and we attended meetings virtually and attended comments and so forth. Our primary concern is this process has been so quick. We haven't had the opportunities we need for due process to be heard and listened to, and also try to bring resolution to some of these concerns that the neighbors have. We are the only residential community affected by this development. I was really happy to hear during the presentation, the number of the comments that were made about the residential community. We know we have been noticed now. In the last couple of days we have made some progress on a couple of issues but we still do have those outstanding concerns such as the environmental and flood impact and this hasn't been mentioned yet, but the two main streets that feed our community are going to be heavily impacted by this development as planned. And we have some major concerns about those impacts and we shared those with city staff. They just haven't reached a suitable accommodation on those. So at this time, we would just like to have month or time to reach some conclusions for our neighbors to feel more comfortable with this project and, I mean, we never did want to stop this project, but we do need more time. We're asking for 60 days to be able to work with staff and come back with some possibly adjusted development plans. We did speak at the Development Review Board but none of our comments were -- they were noted but not included.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: One minute remaining.

Susan McGarry: Thank you for this opportunity. Thank you for this opportunity to speak and I look forward to hearing what everyone has to say. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Ms. McGarry. Megan, were we able to reconnect with Mr. Durham?

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Mayor Lane, we are waiting for him to call in on a separate line. It looks like he's just joined us. So if you give me one moment, I will let him in the meeting.

Mayor Lane: Okay.

Tom Durham: Hello. Hello.

Mayor Lane: Mr. Durham?

Tom Durham: Yes. Can you hear me now?

Mayor Lane: We certainly can.

Tom Durham: I want to start by saying Axon is a great company. Thank you, Mr. Mayor for working to keep them here, but I think this project does raise significant concerns. There is an increase in height from 52 feet to 82 feet, and that's 57% increase in the height. I believe that if the Scottsdale police caught me driving 57% over the speed limit, I think I would probably be in some trouble, and I don't think I could get out of that trouble saying, I really, really like to drive 57% over the speed limit. So I -- I think that's a significant issue in why we are allowing such a major change in our zoning standards. This building will represent a major change in Scottsdale. This building is unique to say the least which many people have comments on. They will have very unusual color schemes, lighting, that Ms. Kennett has commented on that cuts close to a billboard, which we have generally prohibited here. And all of this is going to be located at one of the most conspicuous. And there's a time to comment on these issues and there's been minimal time for surrounding neighborhood to get comments on some of these issues. So I would suggest deferring this matter until there is a greater opportunity for public comment and --

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: One minute remaining.

Tom Durham -- public meetings at city council meetings to provide greater input to this project. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Durham. Megan is that then -- does that complete the public testimony on this item?

Senior Management Associate Megan Lynn: Yes, Mayor.

Mayor Lane: I will go ahead and turn it over to the council for any questions that they may have and, of course, looking to have -- yes, have the applicant and have our senior planner standing by to answer questions from the council as they may come up. So it looks like first for comments, questions or otherwise is our Vice Mayor Whitehead.

[Time: 01:09:59]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you, Mayor. I want to thank the residents and the applicant and staff because I have been working with everybody for quite a few weeks to try to resolve some of the issues. I know we are moving quickly. I just want to mention the noise, environmental impacts, flooding, all of those, this applicant like any developer has to meet our city code and one thing that Randy Grant said in a meeting that I had with the constituents. The current facility -- the new facility that's being voted on tonight will be the same operation as the existing facility, although bigger, but if they expand and expand the uses on the remaining acreage that they have purchased, that has to come before council again. So if there's changes in chemicals or something of their processes, that all comes before the city again.

I just want to thank the applicant and the -- the residents for asking for this, because it really benefits Scottsdale when our roadways are beautiful, and so just today, we got an updated site plan or landscaping plan and I want to point out that the applicant is proposing good, large desert trees

that -- and he's -- they have added trees in the median too. You know, there's nothing more beautiful than you are driving down a road and you have got the beautiful trees on both sides and then the median too. So I want to thank the applicant for that and the citizens for asking for it.

The street -- a lot of the questions I'm hearing from the residents is really on the city side. Even if this project is approved today, we are still here. We are still with you. We are going to make it right. You know, we have discussed various tactics for discouraging use of 82nd Street which is your neighborhood road, and the staff indicated that they will be funding and ways to address that.

We discussed the amphitheater that primarily is expected to just be used for the events at Axon, but if, in fact, a third party wanted to rent that amphitheater, staff did confirm that a special use permit would be required from the city. And lights, you know, we have been able to answer some questions about lighting, but, again, that's a city issue and we are working with you, so we are here for you on that. I know there's still a question on roundabout versus four-way stop signs. I don't know if Greg wants to -- if that's still open for discussion and that's the Mayo 82nd Street intersection, a four-way stop signs are considered or if we have pretty much set on roundabouts. That's my only remaining question.

[Time: 01:13:19]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Oh, you know, I have one question for the applicant. With this new landscaping plan, I would like to amend whatever motion is put forth to include the language. I want to confirm that this accept would accept this language and the language would be the property owner shall provide enhanced landscaping along the Mayo Boulevard frontage as depicted in the landscape buffer Exhibit at Mayo Boulevard dated November 10th, 2020. So that's what we received today. So I guess I would like to hear from the applicant that that would be okay if we included that in a motion.

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: This is Charles. I don't know if you can hear me. Can you hear me on this?

Mayor Lane: Yes.

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: We would certainly agree to that stipulation. That is something that we have committed to doing and will stipulate to that accordingly.

Senior Management Assistant Megan Lynn: And Mayor Lane, Vice Mayor Whitehead, I apologize for interrupting. I wanted to make you aware that we have a hand raised on Zoom by Randy Grant.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Very good. We'll take him out of order and let's go ahead and ask Mr. Grant to speak.

Planning and Development Services Director Randy Grant: Thank you, Mayor and members of the council. I wanted to just clarify Vice Mayor Whitehead had said that the future development on the western parcel would have to come back to city council. It would come to the city, but it would be development review. The zoning, as long as they are meeting the zoning requirements, it would be a development review board review. They would, of course, have to meet the conditions, all the

environmental conditions and for hazardous materials that are where bodies would require but it would not come back for city council review unless they were proposing some amended standards or something out of the order. So thank you, Mayor.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Grant. Then next, we would have Councilwoman Klapp.

[Time: 01:15:21]

Councilwoman Klapp: I would like to have Mr. Huellmantel explain a little bit further about the height that's on the property. You know, there's a question is this height appropriate. And I listened to the Planning Commission presentation and I will thought you did a good job of explaining why the height is where it is, and why it's removed as far away as it is an if you have lower heights there that you would have to have more buildings closer to the neighbor. Could you go into that a little bit more, Mr. Huellmantel?

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: Yes, it certainly looks like -- [Garbled audio] So we are proud of that. And [Garbled audio] One more. And one more, I think. So one of the things we want to do is provide the height in the right place. And your question is one of the things I was talking about at the hearing, was why we did that. So you can see that is the only place that we are trying to get the height. We do that because that's where the office is, and we're spending a minute talking about the various things we do. On this site, we will -- we will have regular office space. We will have research and design space. We spend a lot of our time and energy developing new products and fine tuning the products we have. We have all kinds of programming space, and then we have manufacturing and distribution. Of and so the office space is a portion of the yellow building. We moved it as close to the freeway as possible and secondly putting heights where we thought it made sense and not anywhere else.

More importantly if we didn't have the height there, what we would end up doing is without adding additional height -- and by the way, it's worth noting that the similar height exists not far away, just on the other side of the freeway, and both the other side of Hayden and the other side of the freeway, but we would have shorter, wider buildings and those building would necessarily then be closer to the neighborhood. So by being farther away and taller, we think we are less obtrusive than if we had shorter buildings and moved them closer to Mayo Boulevard. Does that answer your question, Councilmember?

Councilwoman Klapp: Yes, it does. So just want to make a few comments about this case, and that I chaired D.R.B. on this and the applicant added all of this landscaping that Vice Mayor Whitehead added at that meeting because that appeared to be the major concern of the neighborhood that's just to the southwest of this property. So I think that the extensive landscaping that's been added to this, as well as moving the heights away from the neighbors as far as they possibly could and the unique design of this building makes me feel that this is a fabulous project.

And so I would like to make a motion to adopt ordinance number 4474, and resolution number 11950.

Councilmember Korte: Second.

Mayor Lane: A motion has been made by Councilwoman Klapp and seconded by Councilwoman Korte. Would you like to speak towards the second?

Councilmember Korte: Only that I wish to confirm that the enhanced landscaping that Vice Mayor Whitehead spoke of is included in that motion.

Councilwoman Klapp: Sure.

Mayor Lane: Very good on that. So we do have a motion on the table and it's been seconded. And I should say -- Councilman Phillips?

[Time: 01:19:23]

Councilman Phillips: I would like to make an amended request to postpone this to a later date to allow for more public input.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Phillips.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I will second that.

Mayor Lane: I'm sorry. A second from Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Mm-hmm.

Mayor Lane: All right. Would you like to speak toward it?

Councilwoman Littlefield: Yes, I would, thank you. I think 60 days which was requested is too much. I don't think the concerns that are still remaining on this project should take more than a couple of weeks. So I would not be in favor of running this out to Christmas, but sometime within the next couple of weeks to give the neighbors time to meet and discuss what their true concerns are with this. The two I heard that I did not hear an answer for, one was any noise that may be resulting from this building that would go into the neighborhoods or be a problem for the neighbors, and also the light rotating from the building, how far is that going to shine and is that going to be a big problem? Is there a way to shield that away from the neighborhoods? So I think that if we could get some clarification on some of their issues, maybe have a meeting that they actually answer the questions and not just take questions, which is what they did on the open house, which I found a little odd. But have a meeting with the neighbors, even if it's remote Zoom, whatever, where they actually answer the questions and have a dialogue with the neighbors. I think that would be very helpful.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman Littlefield. >> Mayor Lane: Councilman Phillips, did you -- are you back on or it just wasn't removed? Okay. Councilwoman Klapp.

[Time: 01:21:34]

Councilwoman Klapp: Yes, I would like to ask Mr. Huellmantel to explain where that light will be shining

because as I recall it's not shining towards the neighbors at all. Could you explain that at all, Mr. Huellmantel?

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: Yes, thank you for the opportunity to do that. The light is, in fact, that people are discussing is on the north side of the building. It doesn't do anything to the it doesn't shine southward. It shines towards the freeway and the building itself and the casing for that lighting will be shielding it from going back into the neighborhood. And also as it relates to noise, I will like to address that briefly. There's not anything that we are doing in the new building that would create noise other than what we are doing now, that is about the same distance. And in the 15 years we have been there, we have never had a noise complaint. It's also worth noting that this newer building will be built to today's standards which would even be better for sound attenuation. We build cameras and taser systems which are handheld. We don't build engine blocks for cars. The things we build are very small and they accordingly don't generate significant sound. It's also worth noting that while there's some discussion about the time frame that we had our case reviewed, the time frames we heard the case are the things that come from the city statute. We have met the law in this case. We think it's fair we think it's appropriate and we would ask that the council vote this evening.

Councilmember, does that answer your question sufficiently?

Councilwoman Klapp: Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Vice Mayor Whitehead.

[Time: 01:23:27]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Speaking of the light on the roof, is there a time that it goes off? Can you provide more information about that sign and we do -- I want to say we do have signs on Nationwide, on other buildings. So this is just different. It's a different sign.

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: It's also worth noting that we are here today on the zoning. We are not here on design review but nonetheless, I want to answer that question. The sign that I think people are talking about is not really a sign. It's a lighted feature that you might see from the design, the building is reminiscent of a spaceship. It's important for us that it be an iconic building. It's how we bring talent from around the world, bring the best computer programming talent here to Scottsdale and our company has been really founded on futuristic ideas. When we first brought the taser to the world, there was a hard concept. And now today, very few officers are willing to go on the job without one of our weapons or a similar one. Likewise, when we brought cameras to the world, it was new to law enforcement. It was an idea that was way ahead of its time. Today, I think you see in the news the officers are reluctant no go into the field without cameras. Fortunately, the community sees them aground break and important to law enforcement. People see cameras today as something that's a necessary part of law enforcement when we established the camera that was not the case.

And so having a futuristic building is important to us. We have the axon enterprise which is designed to look like a spaceship. It's not visible anywhere other than the north side and that green, this is not to display advertising content. It will have no words. It's designed to be art and that art appears to be generating the pow for the spaceship if you will.

Does that address your question or would you like me to go into greater detail? I'm happy with the building and I'm happy to talk about it for hours.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: No thank you, that's great. I have a question, back to Randy Grant. I understand this is a zoning case for the whole lot, but if there was a change in what axon was making, what manufacturing and it involved different environment, you know, requirement, that's -- I guess that's the question, that would fool whatever guidelines whether they are city, state, or federal, and it's not that axon can fundamentally change what they are manufacturing without going through proper processes and getting permitted as required. Is that correct, Randy?

Planning and Development Services Director Randy Grant: Yes, Vice Mayor, that is correct. Certainly, we would not do anything that would put people at risk, and they would have to meet all statutory requirements, local, state and federal, in addition to conforming with the zoning ordinance. Thank you.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: And one final comment that I meant to say last time. I do appreciate what Axon has done, what they have brought to this world and the police department, and I'm proud that they are a Scottsdale born and raised.

Applicant Representative Charles Huellmantel: Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Vice Mayor. Councilwoman Littlefield?

[Time: 01:27:16]

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. My second on this was not because I don't like this project. I do like this project. I like it a lot. As a child of the '60s, Star Trek was one of my major TV shows and I find this to be kind of an interesting scenario from -- from that point of view for Scottsdale. I find it fun and kind of exciting, but I was concerned when I listened to the open house that questions were not answered to the neighbors and I think from what we have heard tonight, that's still a problem. If this passes tonight, that's fine. I would like to ask that Axon makes the opportunity for another question/answer session with the neighbors to answer their concerns and to talk with them, and directly answer the questions that they all seem to have, and that are not being answered to their satisfaction. So that's what I would like to see. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Seeing no further comments at the time, we have an alternative motion on the table and it's been seconded. I don't know whether it was entirely consistent with the time frame or one was stipulated on the motion. And amended by the second. But just so we know what we are talking about, what is the motion as you stated it.

Councilman Phillips: The motion is to defer this request for later date so we can allow for more later input.

Mayor Lane: A later date. And then the only amendment for the standpoint is not 60 days?

Councilwoman Littlefield: Yes.

[Off microphone comment]

Mayor Lane: The motion has been and seconded and it's an alternative motion. It is first that we will vote on. So I think we are then ready to vote on that motion. All those in favor, please indicate by aye. Opposed a nay. The motion fails 5-2 with Councilman Phillips and Councilwoman Littlefield in the affirmative.

We'll move to the original motion then, which has been made and seconded. With the stipulated add that you said we needed to add to it. Okay. We are then ready to vote. All those in favor please indicate by aye. Those opposed with a nay. Aye. The motion passes 5-2, with Councilman Phillips and Councilwoman Littlefield opposing.

I thank all members and the applicant for their presentation and staff and for answering the questions as they were posed. Thank you very much and thank you for all of council and their input on this. So that measure has passed and we are complete on item 19. Okay.

ITEM 20 – SCOTTSDALE ARTS MANAGEMENT SERVICES AGREEMENT

[Time: 01:29:32]

Mayor Lane: We have on item 20, Scottsdale Arts Management Services Agreement. We have Karen Churchard, our tourism and events director. Karen, are you on -- connected with us?

Tourism and Events Director Karen Churchard: Yes, Mr. Mayor. Thank you. Good evening to you, and the councilmembers. Thank you for the opportunity to provide an overview of the staff's proposed new management services agreement with Scottsdale Arts. As a point of reference, Gerd Wuestemann, and Alison Lewis chairman of the board of trustees have joined us for this meeting tonight. Next, please.

Scottsdale Arts has provided arts and cultural advisory management services to the city for 33 years. They have served as a principal organization, managing arts and culture education, activities and programs. Historically arts education has been a part of the agreement and I would like to point out that this area of work has substantially increased since 2008, and was renamed as the Scottsdale Arts Learning And Innovation Division, known as Sally, and is one of their four key pillars of their work. The other three pillars include operating and managing the Center for Performing Arts and the Museum of Contemporary Art, as well as the city's public art, which includes conservation and additionally, Scottsdale Arts promotes the city's arts and cultural's interests at the local, regional, state and federal levels. Next, please.

City staff and several Scottsdale arts staff, most notably Gerd, have reviewed, vetted and completely restructured the management services agreement into nine key sections. At the beginning of the agreement, ten objectives were created and highlighted which historically have the no been outlined previously. Some of these objectives are new, but all of them cover what the city requires in exchange for funding.

For the term of the agreement, staff is recommending a five-year agreement versus the ten-year agreements that were for the previous 30 years. The new agreement may be extended for one

additional term of up to five years by council approval. Next, please.

I would like to share a few highlights of the ten key objectives. Several of the objectives emphasize actively engaging the community to ensure that the arts continue to flourish in Scottsdale. While this has been a part of the previous agreements, both Scottsdale arts and the staff believe that this can be improved upon. So one of the ways that we can understand and support the larger arts community in Scottsdale is to establish an arts and cultural community needs assessment. This assessment must be completed within 24 months of the signed agreement. It requires input of arts and cultural organizations within Scottsdale and must provide recommendations to the city for an action plan to continue the support of arts and culture in Scottsdale. We are also encouraging increased partnerships with local arts organizations for use of city-owned facilities.

The annual community arts grants funding for Scottsdale base and Scottsdale focused arts organizations must also increase and I'm pleased to tell you that both of these items have already been implemented by Scottsdale arts during the past two fiscal years. Staff also recognized the need for the development of an ongoing city arts and cultural events calendar, which Scottsdale arts must provide either directly or through a third party. The agreement also specifies that the city has the right to reserve event days for its annual city events such as the employee awards, the Mayor and the council breakfast and other tourism-related events and programming.

Another major change is facilities maintenance responsibilities. They have been outlined for each party, and special equipment is now the responsibility of the Scottsdale Arts and specialty fixtures remains the city's responsibility.

During our negotiations, staff encouraged Scottsdale arts to plan for and raise funds for future improvements which in the past was solely the responsibility of the city. Two years ago, Scottsdale arts instituted a \$1.50 surcharge on tickets over 20 today help fund improvements. Next, please.

There are several new funding terms in the agreement in addition to better defining the process for the management fee, we added to the agreement that if city revenues are the same or less than the annual forecast, that we'll inform Scottsdale arts that an increase in their fee is unlikely. And that possibly that the management fee may be less than the previous fiscal year. There's a new requirement for Scottsdale arts to match \$2 in earned and contributed revenue, for every dollar of unmuted restricted funds received from at city over the time of the agreement. The goal will help decrease reliance on city funding, which is currently 43.9% for unrestricted management fee, and increase the reliance on outside funding for 67% of total revenue by the end of the term of agreement. Another new requirement is to share with the city net revenues from civic center events. Your agreement requires a 30% of any net revenues that will be shared with the city. And this will result in a 50/50 share of revenues in year five. Prior agreements allowed Scottsdale arts to retain all of those revenues. Next, please.

Annually, the city council approves the management service fund allocation through a financial participation agreement, excuse me. The fiscal year 2021 operating budget management service fee is \$5,006,009. Which represents a 2% increase. The 2% increase payment of \$98,157 was deferred through council approval at the June 30th meeting, until a new agreement is approved. The additional special revenue funds total \$600,000 for the annual destination event and the community arts grant

supplemental. So makes the total management services fee \$5,606,009. Next, please.

This graph provided the detail for the general fund unrestricted fund amounts as well as each of the restricted funds. Historically, the community arts grant program, the public art conservation, the public art administration and special equipment have been restricted funds. The new agreement moves art education into the restricted category as it's always been a requirement of the city. Other fund sources that are restricted include \$550,000 from bed tax, for the canal convergence, which is happening right now through November 13th throughout old town and \$50,000 for the community arts trust to provide additional funding support for the community arts program. Next, please.

Overall, staff believes this agreement is a much better one for the city and it requires and even greater investment in the city. I thank you for your patience and willingness to negotiate a better agreement for the city and will also in my opinion make Scottsdale arts an even greater organization. As such, staff is recommending that the council adopt the two resolutions before you for consideration. Mr. Mayor and councilmembers, quick reminder that Scottsdale Arts representative Gerd Wuestemann is available to answer any questions if you are so inclined. I thank you for the opportunity to provide a brief overview regarding the proposed management services agreement and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, and I appreciate that presentation. It was to the point and clear. I will ask if there are any meshes of council that have questions of Karen or if Mr. Wuestemann would like to make any comment himself.

[Time: 01:3:14]

Scottsdale Arts CEO and President Gerd Wuesteman: Mr. Mayor and dear councilmembers, can you hear me okay?

Mayor Lane: Yes.

Scottsdale Arts CEO and President Gerd Wuesteman: I want to say a heartfelt thank you to city staff, namely Karen Churhard, and Jim Thompson to redo this M.S.A., it's three-years in the making. I think the staff has worked incredibly well with us to make meaningful change and really major improvements to this agreement that will hold us to greater account as it should, and I think we as Karen said, make us a better organization to better serve this community. I'm thrilled with the partnership. We have a very long history of partnering with the city on this and I think we will be in excellent shape moving forward with this community.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Wuestemann. Any questions of either the president of Scottsdale arts and/or staff? On this? Hearing none, I would like to just like to say, kudos to everyone who is involved with this. Because in all the number of years I have been engaged in this process, being 12 years in this position, never once -- this is an effective and efficient way to have the accountability but also the efficient use of our resources and coordinated responsibilities which I think is something that has been refined in this agreement to an extent that I have not seen before. It's always been a matter of some controversy and some difficulties and I can only imagine that it takes three years to work through this, because we have engaged in a number of different occasions certainly with different players that was a

lot more difficult to try to quantify and to hold the accountability, but also have a structure that's workable on all sides, and makes I think perfect sense. I'm thrilled with what I have heard and seen through this process and where we are tonight. Councilwoman Milhaven.

Councilwoman Milhaven: I agree and I would like to make a motion to adopt resolution 11730 and resolution 11918.

Mayor Lane: Motion has been made --
Councilwoman Klapp: Second.

Mayor Lane: The motion is made by Councilwoman Milhaven and seconded by Councilwoman Klapp. Would you like to speak towards it at all? Okay. Then I think we are ready to vote. All those in favor, please indicate by aye. Those opposed with a nay. Just delayed. It's unanimous then in acceptance of that agreement. Congratulations and thank you, again Mr. Wuestemann and Ms. Churchard with regard to all the efforts that went in on this side of it for staff as well as for Scottsdale Arts.

Tourism and Events Director Karen Churchard: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Lane: And to the city manager and his staff for all they have done to put that together. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: That -- that concludes our item 20. And the next item is Mayor and council item. And if there are any items, please say so. If not, I will move along and figure that's complete to the boards, commissions, and task force nominations and for that purpose being I will turn it over to our Vice Mayor. Vice Mayor Whitehead, please take it away.

ITEMS 21 – BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND TASK FORCE NOMINATIONS

[Time: 01:43:01]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you, Mayor Lane. This evening, the City Council will be nominating Scottsdale residents interested in serving on citizen advisory boards, commissions, and committees. Appointments for these positions will be made at the City Council Meeting on Tuesday, December 1st. Let's get started.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Board of Adjustment. The Board of Adjustment is a quasi-judicial body that hears variance requests, appeals of the Zoning Administrator's interpretation/decisions, and decides on administrative decisions or zoning requirements, which create unnecessary hardships in the development of property because of exceptional or extraordinary conditions. Terms for Alper Adli and Brian Kaufman expire in November. Alper Adli is ineligible for reappointment. Brian Kaufman is eligible for reappointment and has submitted an application for consideration.

There are two vacancies and two applicants. The applicants are: Brian Kaufman and Matt Metz. I will now entertain nominations for the Board of Adjustment. Each Councilmember can nominate two

applicants. And I will start with Councilwoman Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: Brian Kaufman and Matt Metz.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: And I will continue on to the next board, because that's all the applicants we have.

BUILDING ADVISORY BOARD OF APPEALS

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Building Advisory Board of Appeals. The Building Advisory Board of Appeals has two openings; however, no applications have been received at this time. As such, we will move on to the next board.

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD

[Time: 01:44:49]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: The Development Review Board. The purpose of the Development Review Board is to review and approve architectural design and layout of proposed development plans. Special qualifications - as outlined in the Scottsdale City Code, the membership shall consist of a City Councilmember, a Planning Commission member and five public members, three of whom shall be architects, environmental scientists, landscape architects or persons otherwise qualified by design background training or experience; and two of whom shall be land developers, builders, or contractors.

Terms for William Scarbrough and Joe Young ended in October. Doug Craig's term expired earlier this fall, is eligible for reappointment and has submitted an application for consideration.

There are three vacancies and six applicants. The applicants are Jeffrey Brand, Michael Buschbacher, Doug Craig, Andrea Davis, Ali Fakh, and Larry Kush. I will now entertain nominations for the Development Review Board. Each Councilmember can nominate three applicants. I will start with Councilwoman Milhaven.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Jeffrey Brand, Doug Graig, and Andrea Davis.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Jeffrey Brand, Andrea Davis, and Ali Fakh.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Jeffrey Brand, Andrea Davis, and Ali Fakh.

Councilman Phillips: No further.

Councilmember Korte: Mr. Craig, Mr. Fakh and Mr. Kush.

Mayor Lane: Mr. Brand, Mr. Buschbacher and Mr. Kush.

Councilwoman Klapp: I think everyone has been taken. Jeff Brand, Doug Craig and Ali Fakh. Okay.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Okay.

ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMISSION

Vice Mayor Whitehead: The Environmental Advisory Commission has one opening. The Environmental Advisory Commission provides guidance on the prioritization of future environmental activities and recommends environmental policies to the City Council. Special qualifications - as specified in the Scottsdale City Code, the membership of the Board shall reflect both scientific and non-scientific interests.

Tammy Bosse's term expires in November. She is eligible for reappointment and has submitted an application for consideration. There is one vacancy and two applicants. The applicants are Heather Abrahimi and Tammy Bosse. I will now entertain nominations for the Environmental Advisory Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate one applicant.

And I will start with myself, and I will nominate Tammy Bosse, and move on to Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: No additional.

Councilman Phillips: No additional.

Councilmember Korte: No additional.

Mayor Lane: No additional.

Councilwoman Klapp: No additional.

Councilwoman Milhaven: No additional.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

[Time: 01:48:23]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Historic Preservation Commission. The Historic Preservation Commission oversees the development and management of Scottsdale's Historic Preservation Program. As outlined in the Scottsdale City Code, each member shall have demonstrated special interest, knowledge, or experience in at least one of the following: Building construction, history, architectural history, real estate, historic preservation law or other historic preservation related field.

Christie Kinchen's term expires in November. She is eligible for reappointment and has submitted an application for consideration. There is one vacancy and one applicant. We will start with Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Christie Kinchen.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you.

HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

Vice Mayor Whitehead: The Human Relations Commission. The Human Relations Commission advocates and promotes all dimensions of diversity. The Commission acts as an advisory body to the Mayor, City Council and staff to make recommendations on ways to encourage mutual respect and understanding among people, to discourage prejudice and discrimination, and to work towards cultural awareness and unity.

Conay Huizar resigned from the commission in October. There is one vacancy and 12 applicants. The applicants are Jason Alexander, Denise Atwood, Suzan Austin, Rosemarie LeClercq, Tiffany Muller, Robin Murphy, Tara Olson-Capps, Terri Rudin, Victoria Seiter, Lawdan Shojaee, Marietta Strano, and Andrew Williams. I will now entertain nominations for the Human Relations Commission. Each councilmember can nominate one applicant and I'm going to start with Councilman Phillips.

Councilman Phillips: Denise Atwood.

Councilmember Korte: Andrew Williams.

Mayor Lane: Lawdan Shojaee.

Councilwoman Klapp: Susan Austin.

Councilwoman Milhaven: No additional.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: And no additional for me. Thank you. Oh, sorry, Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Just for that, I will put in an additional. Terri Rudin.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Vice Mayor Whitehead: The Industrial Development Authority. Three openings. The Industrial Development Authority has three openings; however, no applications have been received at this time. As such, we will move on to the next commission.

MCDOWELL SONORAN PRESERVE COMMISSION

[Time: 01:51:18]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: McDowell Sonoran Preserve Commission, one opening. The McDowell Sonoran Preserve Commission provides citizen oversight for acquisition, preservation, management and stewardship of the McDowell Mountain and related Sonoran Desert for the benefit of this and future

generations.

Jace McKeighan's term expired in September and is ineligible for reappointment. There is one vacancy and three applicants. The applicants are Stephen Coluccio, Robert Fishman and Todd Shaffer. I will now entertain nominations for the McDowell Sonoran Preserve Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate one applicant. We'll start with Councilmember Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Todd Schaffer.

Mayor Lane: Stephen Coluccio.

Councilwoman Klapp: Robert Fishman.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: I think we got 'em all. Okay.

NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COMMISSION

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Neighborhood Advisory Commission, one opening. The Neighborhood Advisory Commission advises and makes recommendations to the City Council on policies, plans, strategies and programs for the preservation, improvement and revitalization of Scottsdale's housing and neighborhoods.

Jennifer Fabiano resigned from the commission. There is one vacancy and six applicants. The applicants are Dawn Abel, David Brotman, Ragan Grossman, Louise Lamb, Joseph Mitchell, and Suzanne Walker. I will now entertain nominations for the Neighborhood Advisory Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate one applicant. We start with Mayor Lane.

Mayor Lane: David Brotman.

Councilwoman Klapp: Louise Lamb.

Councilwoman Milhaven: No additional.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Dawn Abel.

Councilwoman Littlefield: No additional.

Councilman Phillips: No additional.

Councilmember Korte: No additional.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you.

PERSONNEL BOARD

Vice Mayor Whitehead: The Personnel Board hears appeals submitted by city employees relating to

dismissal, demotion, or suspensions; and submits its recommendations to the City Manager.

Steven Guttell's term expires in November and is ineligible for reappointment. The second opening is from a resignation that occurred earlier this year. There are two vacancies and four applicants. The applicants are Barbara Burns, Mary Fowler, Roger Geddes and Bruce Washburn. I will now entertain nominations for the Personnel Board. Each Councilmember can nominate two applicants. We'll start with Councilwoman Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: Barbara Burns, Bruce Washburn.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Mary Fowler and no additional.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: No additional for me. Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Roger Geddes and no additional.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Okay.

TOURISM DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Tourism Development Commission. The Tourism Development Commission advises the City Council on matters concerning the expenditure of revenues from the Transaction Privilege Tax on transient lodging (bed tax) designated for tourism development. Special Qualifications are as specified in the Scottsdale City Code, the Tourism Development Commission shall consist of representatives of the tourism industry in Scottsdale, including a minimum of four Scottsdale hoteliers, one member of the Scottsdale Convention and Visitors Bureau, and a balance from elements of the tourism industry.

David Winter resigned from the commission in June. He represented a Scottsdale hotelier position. There is one Scottsdale hotelier position vacancy and one Scottsdale Hotelier applicant. The Scottsdale Hotelier applicant is Sally Shaffer. I will now entertain nominations for the Tourism Development Commission. Starting with Councilwoman Milhaven.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Sally Shaffer.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you. Okay. Onward.

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Transportation Commission. The Transportation Commission advises the City Council on matters relating to the safe and efficient movement of vehicles, transit, pedestrians and bicycles. The commission provides a public forum to hear citizen complaints and requests regarding transportation matters.

Donald Anderson's term expires in November. He is eligible for reappointment and has submitted an application for consideration. There is one vacancy and three applicants. The applicants are Donald

Anderson, Abigail Goode, and Jay Rosenthal. I will now entertain nominations for the Transportation Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate one applicant.

I will start with myself, and I will nominate Donald Anderson. Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: No additional.

Councilman Phillips: Jay Rosenthal.

Councilmember Korte: No additional.

Mayor Lane: No additional.

Councilwoman Klapp: No additional.

Councilwoman Milhaven: No additional.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Thank you.

VETERANS ADVISORY COMMISSION

Vice Mayor Whitehead: The Veterans Advisory Commission advises the City Council on veteran programs, policies and practices and serve as a community connection point for veterans and the community where they live.

Council appointed an individual at the October 6th Council Meeting; however, the candidate has moved outside the city limits and is now ineligible to serve on the Commission. There is one vacancy and one applicant. The applicant is Scott Rinchack. I will now entertain nominations for the Veterans Advisory Commission. And I will start with Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Scott Rinchack.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Okay. So there's no more. We'll move on. This concludes our nomination process this evening. Individuals nominated will be contacted by city staff with additional information. I would like to take this opportunity to sincerely thank all who applied to serve on a citizen advisory board or commission. Even if you were not nominated, your application will remain on file for one year for consideration at a future date if there are additional vacancies. I will turn it back over to Mayor Lane.

ADJOURNMENT

[Time: 01:58:02]

Mayor Lane: Thank you very much, Vice Mayor. And concluded a good nominations session there. That concludes our business for this regular meeting and therefore I would ask for a motion to adjourn.

Councilwoman Klapp: Move to adjourn.

Councilmembers: Second.

Mayor Lane: Motion made and seconded. All those in favor of adjournment, please indicate by aye. We are adjourned. Thank you.