0066-00-00

OFFICIAL BALLOT

GENERAL ELECTION

NOVEMBER 4, 2008, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

TO VOTE: COMPLETE THE ARROW(S) POINTING TO YOUR CHOICE WITH A SINGLE LINE, LIKE BELOW DOE, JANE



0066 - BRONCO

SECTION ONE of this ballot is comprised of partisan candidates. To vote for the candidates for the partisan offices, complete the arrow to the right of the name of each candidate for whom you wish to vote. PARTY ABBREVIATIONS The following abbreviations identify the partisan candidates in Section One of this ballot: (REP)-REPUBLICAN; (DEM)-DEMOCRATIC; (LBT)-LIBERTARIAN; (GRN)-GREEN.

SECTION TWO of this ballot is comprised of nonpartisan offices. To vote for candidates for nonpartisan offices, complete the arrow to the right of the candidate name. If you wish to vote for retention of a judge, or the adoption of a proposition, complete the arrow pointing to the word "YES". If you wish to vote against retention of a judge, or against the adoption of a proposition, complete the arrow pointing to the word "YES".

TO VOTE FOR A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE: PRINT THE NAME

ATOP OF THE SHADED TEXT, LIKE BELOW

Print nam of the man both

Optech 3PE40

COUNTY **SECTION ONE - PARTISAN BALLOT** CITY OF SCOTTSDALE **COUNTY ATTORNEY** MAYOR **FEDERAL** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS (DEM) **NELSON, TIM** MANROSS, MARY (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) MORTON, CATHERINE NOSIE, WENDSLER, SR. PASTOR, VERMA ROE, WILLIAM YOUNG, HARRIET H. CASTRO, RAÚL H (REP) THOMAS, ANDREW P. LANE, W.J. "JIM" HARVEY, JACOB HOLMES, HAROLD (LBT) ◀ KIELSKY, MICHAEL OBAMA, BARACK (DEM) ASH, BRUCE DAVIS, KURT GULLETT, WES HARPER, SHARON LONDEN, JACK MILLER, BEVERLY LOCKETT MILLER, LEE NAVA, BETTINA PULLEN, RANDY RAPPOPORT, D. MICHAEL **COUNCILMEMBER** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 3) **COUNTY RECORDER** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) DRAKE, BETTY (REP) McCAIN, JOHN (REP) PURCELL, HELEN COBB, JOE MICHAEL GLENN, TED LOUIS HANCOCK, ERNEST HESS, BARRY KIELSKY, MICHAEL KIELSKY, RACHEL SCHLOSSER, DAVID SEVERIN, WARREN SQUYRES, GEORGE VALLEJO, EDWARD GILLER, TOM **◆** (LBT) ◀ HANCOCK, ERNEST KLAPP, SUZANNE BARR, BOB (LBT) McCULLAGH, RON CASTORENA, CELESTE M. DEWITT, REBECCA HALBERT, KATHLEEN HIGGS, BEN MARTIN, THERESA MAVRIDES, TELEMACHOS **COUNTY SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT** MCCONNELL, LARRY RAMIREZ, JUAN, II SCOTT, RICHARD TORRES, ÁNGEL **NESVIG, NAN** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) (REP) ◀ COVEY, DON **BOROWSKY, LISA** McKINNEY, CYNTHIA (GRN) BLAKEMORE, DEBORAH CRAWFORD, PAUL DUNAKIN, PAUL ERICKSON, KENNETH FRIEDMANN, MICHAEL GIST, CHRISTINE MCCARTHY, DIANE RICHARDSON, ERIC RODDA, JEANETTE WILL, VRENDI (LBT) ∢ HODGES, DAVID E. Print name of Write-In Candidate HERI NADER, RALPH (NON) **SHERIFF** Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

(DEM)◀ SABAN, DAN **U.S. REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS DISTRICT 5** (REP) ARPAIO, JOE (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) (DEM) **◆** (LBT) MITCHELL, HARRY WILL, CHRIS A.H. (REP)◀ SCHWEIKERT, DAVID **COUNTY TREASURER** (LBT) ◀ SEVERIN, WARREN (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) (REP)◀ HOSKINS, CHARLES "HOS" **STATE** STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 7 JUSTICE OF THE PEACE DESERT RIDGE (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) (DEM) ◀ BLACK, LISA (REP) JAYNE, CLANCY (REP)◀ WARING, JIM (LBT) GRENIER, DENNIS G. **CONSTABLE DESERT RIDGE** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) STATE REPRESENTATIVE (REP) HAZLETT, CORY **DISTRICT 7** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2) (DEM)**← LUNN, JEANNE SECTION TWO - NONPARTISAN BALLOT** (REP)**◆** BARTO, NANCY MARICOPA COUNTY SPECIAL HEALTH CARE DISTRICT (REP) BARNES, RAY **DISTRICT 2** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) (LBT)◀ IANNUZO, JAMES CAREY, ROB PATTERSON, GREG STRATTON, HARLAN T. **CORPORATION COMMISSIONER** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 3) (DEM)**◆ NEWMAN, PAUL** PARADISE VALLEY UNIF NO. 69 (DEM) SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER GEORGE, SAM **PARADISE VALLEY UNIF NO. 69** (DEM)

KENNEDY, SANDRA (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 3) (REP) GREENBERG, ANNE WONG, BARRY (REP) KENYON, WEST MCCLURE, MARIAN A. (REP)**◆** SKIDMORE, SUE STUMP, BOB CASE, NANCY rint name of Write-In Candidate HERE int name of Write-In Candidate HERE rint name of Write-In Candidate HERE int name of Write-In Candidate HERE COUNTY **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS QUESTION 1 DISTRICT 2** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) A "yes" vote shall authorize the Paradise Valley Unified School District Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and (DEM) ◀ SINCLAIRE, JOEL S. operation budget which exceeds the District's revenue control limit by 10% per year for seven years. (REP) STAPLEY, DON A "no" vote shall not authorize the Paradise Valley Unified School District Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE operation budget which exceeds its revenue control limit.

COUNTY ASSESSOR

(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

RUSSELL, KEITH

KIELSKY, RACHEL

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

(REP)◀

(LBT)◀

BUDGET INCREASE, NO

QUESTION 2

A "yes" vote shall authorize the Paradise Valley Unified School District Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and operation budget for kindergarten through third grade programs which exceeds the District's revenue control limit by 5% per year for seven years.

A "no" vote shall not authorize the Paradise Valley Unified School District Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and operation budget which exceeds its revenue control limit.

BUDGET INCREASE, YES

BUDGET INCREASE, NO

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT

Trim

09/13/20

18:38:35

9/14/08

09:15

nall the following Justices of the Sup ourt of Arizona be retained in office?	preme	Shall the following Judges of the Court be retained in office?
Retain: BALES, SCOTT	YES 🛑	Retain: Division 48 KLEIN, ANDREW G.
UDGES OF THE COURT OF APP	PEALS,	Retain: Division 55
all the following Judges of the Cou	rt of	MAHONEY, MARGARET R. Retain: Division 18
eals, Division 1, of Arizona be reta e?	ained in	McCLENNEN, CRANE
tain: Division 1 HNSEN, DIANE	YES NO	Retain: Division 73 McMURDIE, PAUL J.
tain: Division 1 OZCO, PATRICIA	YES NO	Retain: Division 42 McNALLY, COLLEEN
etain: Division 1 MMER, ANN SCOTT	YES NO	Retain: Division 8 McVEY, MICHAEL R.
JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR CO		Retain: Division 54 MILES, LINDA H.
all the following Judges of the Supe urt be retained in office?	erior	Retain: Division 75 MILES, ROBERT E.
etain: Division 67 BRAMS, HELENE F.	YES MO	Retain: Division 20
etain: Division 17 KERS, LINDA A.	YES NO	OBERBILLIG, ROBERT H. Retain: Division 78
etain: Division 9 RANETA, LOUIS A.	YES TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL PROPE	PADILLA, JOSÉ S.
etain: Division 4 RELLANO, SILVIA R.	YES	Retain: Division 79 POTTS, KAREN A.
tain: Division 10	YES 🖚	Retain: Division 71 RYAN, TIMOTHY J.
ACA, ANNA MARIA	NO YES	Retain: Division 49 SANDERS, TERESA A.
etain: Division 19 ALLINGER, EDDWARD P., JR.	NO	Retain: Division 43 STEINLE, ROLAND J., III
etain: Division 53 LAKEY, A. CRAIG	NO NO	Retain: Division 47 STEPHENS, SHERRY K.
etain: Division 45: JTTRICK, JOHN A.	YES NO	Retain: Division 50 TRUJILLO, RICHARD J.
tain: Division 68 DHEN, BRUCE R.	YES NO	
tain: Division 52	YES	Retain: Division 51 UDALL, DAVID K.
etain: Division 76	YES 🛑	Retain: Division 80 WHITTEN, CHRISTOPHER T.
VIS, GLENN M.	NO YES	PROPOSITION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO SOLUTION OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMEN
etain: Division 44 TSWORTH, JOHN RICHARD	NO NO	CONSTITUTION BY THE INITIATIVE REAL PROPERTY A "yes" vote shall have the effect of
etain: Division 2 UNEVANT, THOMAS, III	YES NO	new tax, fee, or other assessmen purchase or other conveyance of red December 31, 2007. A "no" vote shall have the effect of red.
etain: Division 77 LORES, LISA DANIEL	YES NO	A "no" vote shall have the effect of the current law, which allows taxes on or transfer of real estate.
etain: Division 65 ARCIA, JEANNE MARIE	YES NO	PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CONSTITUTION BY THE INITIATIVE
etain: Division 69 ENTRY-LEWIS, JO LYNN	YES NO	A "yes" vote shall have the effect of that restrict a person's choice of priv
etain: Division 72 ORDON, MICHAEL D.	YES	systems or private plans, interfere with entity's right to pay for lawful medica impose a penalty or fine for choosing to decline health care coverage
etain: Division 74 ANNAH, JOHN R., JR.	YES 🛨	participation in any health care symplan. A "no" vote shall have the effect of in the current law regarding a person or
tetain: Division 46	NO ←	health care choices.
ARRISON, CARI A.	NO 🛑	
etain: Division 1 ILLIARD, RUTH H.	YES NO	=
Retain: Division 70 IOFFMAN, KRISTIN C.	YES NO	-
etain: Division 3 ATZ, PAUL A.	YES	-
Retain: Division 66	YES 🛑	-
KEMP, MICHAEL WILLIAM	NO 🛑	-

JUDGES	OF THE	SUPERIOR	COURT

Judges of the Superior office?

		<u> </u>
Retain: Division 48 KLEIN, ANDREW G.		_
Retain: Division 55		—
MAHONEY, MARGARET R.	NO	—
Retain: Division 18 McCLENNEN, CRANE	YES	
Retain: Division 73 McMURDIE, PAUL J.	YES	
	NO	—
Retain: Division 42 McNALLY, COLLEEN	YES	
, 		
Retain: Division 8 McVEY, MICHAEL R.	YES NO	-
Retain: Division 54 MILES, LINDA H.	YES NO	—
Retain: Division 75 MILES, ROBERT E.	YES	
Retain: Division 20 OBERBILLIG, ROBERT H.	YES	-
Retain: Division 78	NO YES	←
PADILLA, JOSÉ S.	NO	←
Retain: Division 79 POTTS, KAREN A.	YES	1
Retain: Division 71 RYAN, TIMOTHY J.	YES NO	1
Retain: Division 49 SANDERS, TERESA A.	YES NO	1
Retain: Division 43 STEINLE, ROLAND J., III	YES NO	1
Retain: Division 47 STEPHENS, SHERRY K.	YES NO	1
Retain: Division 50 TRUJILLO, RICHARD J.	YES NO	++
	VES	

SITION 100

AMENDMENT TO THE THE INITIATIVE RELATING TO L PROPERTY

ve the effect of prohibiting any her assessment on the sale onveyance of real estate after

re the effect of retaining allows taxes on the sale

YES 4 NO

YES

NO

YES

NO **4**

SITION 101

AMENDMENT TO THE HE INITIATIVE RELATING TO ALTH CARE

ve the effect of prohibiting laws 's choice of private health care ns, interfere with a person or an or lawful medical services, and ne for choosing to obtain care coverage or for health care system or

e the effect of retaining ding a person or entity's

YES 4 NO

PROPOSITION 102

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE **RELATING TO MARRIAGE**

A "yes" vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to define marriage as a union between one man and one woman, while maintaining the current statutory law of the State of Arizona, which prohibits marriage between persons of the same sex.

A "no" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current statutory law of the State of Arizona which prohibits marriage between persons of the same sex, but would not amend the Arizona Constitution to define marriage as a union between one man and one woman.

YES NO4

PROPOSITION 105

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE INITIATIVE RELATING TO THE INITIATIVE

A "yes" vote shall have the effect of requiring that a majority of registered voters approve any initiative measure establishing, imposing or raising a tax, fee, or other revenue, or mandating a spending obligation, whether on a private person, labor organization, other private legal entity, or the state, in order to

A "no" vote shall have the effect of retaining the current law under which an initiative measure is enacted upon approval of a majority of registered voters that vote on the

YES NO4

PROPOSITION 200

PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO PAYDAY LOANS

"yes" vote shall have the effect of repealing the July 1, 2010 termination date for the existing "payday loan" licensing program thus allowing it to continue indefinitely, allowing payday loan licensees to provide electronic debit agreement services, prohibiting services over 35 days, requiring payday loan agreements be in English or Spanish, prohibiting certain fees, permitting only one payday loan transaction with a customer each business day, requiring a payment plan if requested by the customer, prohibiting arrangements with customers baying outstanding renayment plans allowing having outstanding repayment plans, allowing licensees to make other loans and requiring licensee applicants to maintain a minimum net worth of at least \$50,000 per location up to a maximum of \$1,000,000.

A "no" vote shall have the effect of retaining the current law regarding payday loans, which are to terminate on July 1, 2010. **YES** NO◀

PROPOSITION 201

PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO HOMEOWNERS

A "yes" vote shall have the effect of granting "prospective buyers" a right to sue over a dwelling action, permitting lawsuits despite alternative dispute resolution provisions in sales contracts, shortening buyer purchaser notice and seller response period before and after filing defects lawsuit, requiring seller to inspect dwelling after receiving notice, requiring any seller offer to include repair or replace option that must be performed by a licensed contractor, eliminating seller right to receive attorney fees and costs if the seller prevails, mandating seller to provide ten year warranty of materials and workmanship, requiring newly constructed dwelling contract to include disclosure of seller's financial relationship with a financial institution, disallowing seller from requiring a buyer deposit unless contract allows 100 day cancellation period, extending from eight to ten years the time to file suit against any person making improvements to real property, and expanding remedies available to an owner who is successful in a dwelling action against the

A "no" vote shall have the effect of retaining the current law regarding purchaser dwelling NO

PROPOSITION 202

PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO EMPLOYMENT

A "yes" vote shall have the effect of modifying the laws covering employers who knowingly or intentionally employ "unauthorized aliens," suspending or revoking licenses of businesses that employ unauthorized aliens, adding penalties on employers who fail to properly report cash wages, increasing penalties for identity theft related to employment, and establishing a presumption favoring an employer that verifies employee eligibility under federal law.

A "no" vote shall have the effect of retaining Arizona's current employment laws that suspend or revoke business licenses for employers who knowingly or intentionally employ an unauthorized alien.

NO4

PROPOSITION 300

RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMISSION ON SALARIES FOR ELECTIVE STATE OFFICERS AS TO LEGISLATIVE SALARIES HAS BEEN CERTIFIED TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE AND IS HEREBY SUBMITTED TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS FOR THEIR APPROVAL OR REJECTION.

A "yes" vote shall have the effect of raising State Legislators' salaries to \$30,000 per

YES**◀**

A "no" vote shall have the effect of keeping State Legislators' salaries at \$24,000 per year.

NO4