



7- 0120-01 PURPLE

OFFICIAL BALLOT

OF THE GENERAL ELECTION

ELECTION DATE: NOVEMBER 6, 2018
COCOPAH PRECINCT
COUNTY OF MARICOPA, STATE OF ARIZONA

TO VOTE: COMPLETE THE ARROW(S) POINTING TO YOUR CHOICE WITH A SINGLE LINE, LIKE BELOW
DOE, JANE

TO VOTE FOR A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE: PRINT THE NAME ATOP OF THE SHADED TEXT, LIKE BELOW
John Doe

2168

Optech 3PE4c
Version: 80
© Copyright 2012 DOMINION VOTING

PARTISAN BALLOT

1. Complete the arrow to the right of the name of each candidate for each partisan office for whom you wish to vote.
2. If you wish to vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write such name in the blank space provided on the ballot or write-in envelope and put a mark according to the instructions next to the name so written.
3. Put a mark according to the instructions next to the word "yes" or "for" for each proposition or question you wish to be adopted. Put a mark according to the instructions next to the word "no" or "against" for each proposition or question you wish not to be adopted.

FEDERAL

UNITED STATES SENATOR
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

MCSALLY, MARTHA (REP)

SINEMA, KYRSTEN (DEM)

GREEN, ANGELA (GRN)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

U.S. REP. IN CONGRESS
DIST. 6
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

SCHWEIKERT, DAVID (REP)

MALIK, ANITA (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

STATE

GOVERNOR
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

DUCEY, DOUG (REP)

GARCIA, DAVID (DEM)

TORRES, ANGEL (GRN)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

STATE SENATOR
DIST. 23
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

UGENTI-RITA, MICHELLE (REP)

LOHMAN, DARIA (DEM)

LEONE, CHRISTOPHER "CHRIS" (IND)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

STATE REP.
DIST. 23
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)

KAVANAGH, JOHN (REP)

LAWRENCE, JAY (REP)

KURLAND, ERIC (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

SECRETARY OF STATE
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

GAYNOR, STEVE (REP)

HOBBS, KATIE (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

ATTORNEY GENERAL
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

BRNOVICH, MARK (REP)

CONTRERAS, JANUARY (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

STATE TREASURER
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

YEE, KIMBERLY (REP)

MANOIL, MARK (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC
INSTRUCTION
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

RIGGS, FRANK (REP)

HOFFMAN, KATHY (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

STATE MINE INSPECTOR
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

HART, JOE (REP)

PIERCE, WILLIAM "BILL" (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

STATE

CORPORATION COMMISSIONER
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)

OLSON, JUSTIN (REP)

GLASSMAN, RODNEY (REP)

SEARS, KIANA MARIA (DEM)

KENNEDY, SANDRA (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

COUNTY

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

FINE, JEFF (REP)

MILLER, ROBERTA NEIL (DEM)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

REAGAN, MICHAEL (REP)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

CONSTABLE
MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

LESTER, DAVID (REP)

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

CENTRAL AZ WATER CNSRV DIST
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 5)

DULANEY, ALAN

GIANGOBBE, KERRY

GODDARD, TERRY

IANNUZO, JIM

MACRE, HEATHER A.

MARTIN, JENNIFER

PINGER, APRIL M.

SCHWEIKER, DANIEL W.

SERENY, RONALD

VANPOUCKE, RORY

WILL, CHRIS A.

ARCHER, FRANK LEE

ATKINS, LISA A.

BALLINGER, JIM

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

MARICOPA COUNTY COMMUNITY
COLLEGE
AT-LARGE
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

WINN, KATHLEEN ANN

ARNETT, ROC

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

MARICOPA COUNTY COMMUNITY
COLLEGE
DISTRICT 3
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

SULLIVAN, MARIE

VANDENBOOM, DEBI

ELLIOTT, SHERMAN L.

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

E.V.I.T. NO. 401
DISTRICT 5
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

HEIMBUCK, ERWIN "ERV"

SHUMWAY DOOLEN, RONDA

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

SCOTTSDALE UNIF NO. 48

SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
SCOTTSDALE UNIF NO. 48
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)

BECKMAN, PATTY

GREENBURG, JANN-MICHAEL

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE

COUNCILMEMBER
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 3)

CRAWFORD, BILL

LITTLEFIELD, KATHY

MILHAVEN, LINDA

SMITH, DAVID N.

WHITEHEAD, SOLANGE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

Print name of Write-In Candidate HERE

QUESTION 1

QUESTION 1: SHALL THE CITY COUNCIL BE AUTHORIZED TO INCREASE THE RATE OF TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE AND USE TAXES IN THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE BY 0.10 PERCENT (0.10%) FOR A PERIOD OF TEN (10) YEARS TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS?

YES

NO

PROPOSITION 420

SHALL THE AMENDMENT TO THE SCOTTSDALE CITY CHARTER PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO THE MCDOWELL SONORAN PRESERVE BE APPROVED?

A "yes" vote shall have the effect of adding language to the city charter to prohibit the alteration of the natural state of preserve lands and limit the use of preserve funds for any purpose other than those specifically outlined in the proposed amendment unless authorized by Scottsdale registered voters at a general or special election.

YES

NO

A "no" vote shall have the effect of retaining the current charter language.

Score 1

Score

Score 2

Score

Score 3

Score

1-0120-01

Maricopa

Run Date: 09/17/2018 08:01:59 a

Last Printed: 9/18/18 09:17

Trim

Trim

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT

Refer to full text of propositions and questions posted in the polling place, printed on the sample ballot and provided with all mailed early ballots.

2A1

Optech 3PE4c
Version: 80
© Copyright 2012 DOMINION VOTING

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT	
Shall the following Justices of the Supreme Court of Arizona be retained in office?	
Retain: BOLICK, CLINT	YES ← NO ←
Retain: PELANDER, JOHN, III.	YES ← NO ←
JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION 1	
Shall the following Judges of the Court of Appeals, Division 1, of Arizona be retained in office?	
Retain: Division 1 SWANN, PETER	YES ← NO ←
JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office?	
Retain: DIVISION 2 ANDERSON, ARTHUR T.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 55 ASTROWSKY, BRAD H.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 78 BACHUS, ALISON S.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 50 BAILEY, CYNTHIA J.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 05 BARTON, JANET E.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 35 BERGIN, DAWN	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 85 BLAIR, MICHAEL C.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 47 BRAIN, MARK H.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 32 BRODMAN, ROGER E.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 72 CAMPAGNOLO, THEODORE	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 81 COMO, GREGORY S.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 51 COOPER, KATHERINE "KAY"	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 53 CRAWFORD, JANICE K.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 83 CULBERTSON, KRISTIN R.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 56 CUNANAN, DAVID O.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 14 DUNCAN, SALLY SCHNEIDER	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 29 FINK, DEAN M.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 66 FISH, GEOFFREY H.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 13 FOSTER, GEORGE H.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 70 FOX, DEWAIN D.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 3 GRANVILLE, WARREN J.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 69 GREEN, JENNIFER E.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 49 HERROD, MICHAEL J.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 74 HOPKINS, STEPHEN M.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 31 KREAMER, JOSEPH C.	YES ← NO ←

JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office?	
Retain: DIVISION 77 LEMAIRE, KERSTIN G.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 34 MARTIN, DANIEL G.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 67 MOSKOWITZ, FRANK W.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 16 MROZ, ROSA	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 36 MYERS, SAM J.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 4 O'CONNOR, KAREN L.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 84 OTIS, ERIN O.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 33 PINEDA, SUSANNA C.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 52 POLK, JAY M.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 15 REA, JOHN CHRISTIAN	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 82 RECKART, LAURA M.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 75 ROGERS, JOSHUA D.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 73 RUETER, JEFFREY A.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 68 RYAN-TOUHILL, JENNIFER C.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 57 SINCLAIR, JOAN M.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 71 SMITH, JAMES D.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 76 STEINER, RONEE KORBIN	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 79 SUKENIC, HOWARD D.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 54 SVOBODA, PAMELA HEARN	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 48 VIOLA, DANIELLE J.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 37 WARNER, RANDALL H.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 28 WELTY, JOSEPH C.	YES ← NO ←
Retain: DIVISION 80 WHITEHEAD, ROY CHARLES	YES ← NO ←

PROPOSITION 127
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE INITIATIVE RELATING TO RENEWABLE ENERGY PRODUCTION

A "YES" vote will replace Arizona's current plan for transitioning nongovernmental electric utilities to renewable energy with a constitutional mandate that, irrespective of cost to consumers, 50% of the retail energy sales of these utilities come from certain types of renewable energy by 2030 (neither pre-1997 hydropower nor any nuclear generation counts for this percentage); the current plan increases use of the same types of renewable energy from 8% this year to 15% in 2025. A "YES" vote also will mandate that these utilities increase their use of distributed renewable energy (energy locally generated and distributed from customers' premises) to 10% by 2030; will require the new mandates be met by obtaining renewable energy credits, which may be created through renewable energy production or purchased from others who own existing renewable energy credits; and will require the Arizona Corporation Commission to enact implementing regulations.

A "NO" vote will preserve the existing rules that govern the required annual percentage of retail sales of renewable energy by nongovernmental electric utilities.

YES ←
NO ←

PROPOSITION 305
REFERENDUM ORDERED BY PETITION OF THE PEOPLE RELATING TO EXPANSION OF EDUCATION EMPOWERMENT SCHOLARSHIP ACCOUNTS

A "YES" vote would allow Senate Bill 1431 (2017) to go into effect, which would gradually increase for four years the percentage of students in kindergarten through twelfth grade eligible to receive an empowerment scholarship account to spend on tuition, textbooks, educational therapies, tutoring, or other qualified forms of instructional assistance at a private or home-based school in an amount equal to 90% of the allotted funding that otherwise would have been allocated to the student's public school district or charter school (for low-income students, the amount would be equal to 100% of the allotted funding); make changes to the existing empowerment scholarship program by requiring a policy handbook to be published for program applicants and participants, clarifying parental rights to appeal Department of Education eligibility decisions, and placing scholarship spending information on the Department's website; and control the growth of the scholarship program by limiting new scholarship accounts each year through 2022 and eventually capping the number of new scholarship accounts at 2021-2022 fiscal year levels.

A "NO" vote will preserve the existing law regarding empowerment scholarship accounts.

YES ←
NO ←

PROPOSITION 306
REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF CAMPAIGN FUNDS BY PUBLICLY FUNDED CANDIDATES AND TO CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION RULEMAKING

A "YES" vote will prohibit statewide and legislative candidates who receive public funding to finance their political campaigns from transferring campaign funds to a political party or a private tax-exempt organization that attempts to influence elections, and will subject the Citizens Clean Elections Commission's rulemaking procedures to the regulatory oversight that applies to other state agencies by repealing the Commission's exemption from the Administrative Procedures Act.

A "NO" vote will allow the Citizens Clean Elections Commission to determine whether publicly-funded candidates are permitted to transfer their campaign funds to political parties or private tax-exempt organizations that influence candidate elections, and will leave current law unchanged regarding administrative oversight of the Commission's rulemaking.

YES ←
NO ←

PROPOSITION 125
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO PUBLIC RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

A "YES" vote will allow the State to adjust certain benefits for corrections officer retirees and elected official retirees in order to provide greater financial stability in the pension system; plan changes for newly-hired corrections officers include the replacement of the current permanent benefit increase with a cost of living adjustment tied to inflation and transition to a defined contribution system; plan changes for elected official retirees include a guaranteed cost of living adjustment tied to inflation.

A "NO" vote will have the effect of maintaining the current benefit and contribution rules in the Corrections Officer Personnel Retirement System and Elected Officials Personnel Retirement System.

YES ←
NO ←

PROPOSITION 126
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE INITIATIVE RELATING TO PROHIBITION OF TAXATION OF SERVICES

A "YES" vote will prohibit the State and local governments from enacting any new or increased tax on services that was not already in effect on December 31, 2017.

A "NO" vote will preserve the State and local governments' existing authority to impose a tax on services in the future.

YES ←
NO ←

Score 1

Score

Score 2

Score

Score 3

Score

Trim

Trim

Maricopa
Run Date: 09/18/2018 13:13:29 a
Last Printed: 9/18/18 13:14

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT